

For Reference

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THIS ROOM

Ex libris
UNIVERSITATIS
ALBERTAEASIS





Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2019 with funding from
University of Alberta Libraries

<https://archive.org/details/Roshak1973>

T H E U N I V E R S I T Y O F A L B E R T A

RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR John Roshak
TITLE OF THESIS Some additional correlates
..... of complexity
.....
DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED M. Ed.
YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED Spring, 1973

Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF
ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this
thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private,
scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other publication rights, and
neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may
be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's
written permission.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
SOME ADDITIONAL CORRELATES OF COMPLEXITY

by



JOHN ROSHAK

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH
IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE
OF MASTER OF EDUCATION

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

SPRING, 1973

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read,
and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and
Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled "Some
Additional Correlates of Complexity" submitted by
John Roshak in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Education.

ABSTRACT

The correlation between cognitive complexity and certain environmental variables was examined using 396 Education freshmen, in order to develop a nonpsychometric predictor of cognitive complexity as an aid to teacher-trainee selection.

It was expected to find significant correlations between cognitive complexity and Ss' (1)sex, (2) faculty of re-registration, (3) home address, (4) religion, (5) country of birth, (6) continuity of education, (7) living at home while attending university vs. living away from home, (8) father's occupation and (9) socio-economic status.

The only hypotheses supported were that Ss of foreign birth tended to be more complex than Ss of Canadian birth and that Ss living away from home while attending university tended to greater complexity than those living at home.

These results were explained in terms of content domain specificity of the instrument used, and supporting evidence was presented for this contention through the use of another instrument.

It was concluded that, while the prediction of cognitive complexity was not possible for the instruments and other variables of the present study, the general approach was worthy of possible further consideration.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION.	1
II. HYPOTHESES.	16
III. METHOD.	22
IV. RESULTS	25
V. DISCUSSION.	30
REFERENCES	37
APPENDIX 1	
APPENDIX 2	

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE I.	Relationship between Religious Behaviour and System Membership.	15
TABLE II.	Correlations between Cognitive Complexity on the <u>SANT</u> and <u>CST</u> with Other Variables: Total Sample.	26

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The success of a society is dependent upon the ability of its members to function within it. As a society grows more complex, this ability becomes increasingly dependent upon the ability of the members of the society to deal with increasingly larger amounts of data. In our society the task of equipping its individual members with the ability to deal with ever-increasing complexity, with ever-increasing amounts of data, is largely entrusted to the schools.

In this paper, we will attempt to define the type of individual who can function in increasingly complex situations, consider some of the factors that lead to the formation of this type of individual, and attempt to develop a method of selecting teachers who will be capable of educating at least a portion of their students to the point of fulfilling these criteria.

The search for the type of individual described is not new. Terman, in his monumental Genetic Studies of Genius (1925-1959), defined the intelligent person as one who was able to make use of concepts, to relate them to one another (1956). As words are the tools most frequently used in conceptual manipulation, Terman chose an instrument strongly dependent on vocabulary to measure the range of conceptual manipulation of which an individual was capable, but did not concern himself with the ability to form novel

insights on the basis of material available.

Barron (1953) entered the area via an attempt to construct a non-verbal analogue to the MMPI, but his final instrument bore a closer resemblance to the Graves Design Test (1959). Findings for this study indicated that "complex" individuals—i.e. those who preferred subtlety and asymmetry among the various stimulus figures—tended to show greater creativity, flexibility of thought, than those Ss who chose simple designs. "Complex" Ss tended to be less dogmatic than "simple" Ss, but at the same time resisted social pressure to conform in their opinions in a social pressure situation. While the content of Barron's major instrument was concerned with esthetic judgement, his "simple" and "complex" Ss bear considerable resemblance to Harvey, Hunt & Schroder's (1961) System 1 and 4 representatives, respectively.

A study of creativity vs. intelligence by Getzels & Jackson (1962) showed one finding of interest here: while the parents of both high intelligence and high creative children showed more than average concern and gave more than average care, the parents of the former group tended to give far more direction to their children's activities, effecting control chiefly by means of approval/approval-withdrawal; parents of the latter group tended to be supportive of their children's endeavours without a concomitant superposition of their own values on the

direction of these efforts.

Harvey (1966), Harvey, Hunt & Schroder (1961) and Schroder, Driver & Streufert (1967) investigated the area of variously, cognitive/conceptual/integrative complexity—an area closely related to the two lines of research discussed above. Harvey et al. (1961) were concerned with those aspects of cognitive function that have to do with the differentiation and integration of concepts. The process was seen to be multi-dimensional and saccadic; the most important dimension was held to be concreteness—abstractness. These workers sought to

. . . demonstrate that in the progressive development from a state of conceptual undifferentiation to differentiation and integration, from greater concreteness to increased abstractness, the conceptual system tends to pass through certain plateaus or stages of varying time and breadth. . . the individual first evolves concepts that he applies categorically and absolutely; then he passes through a state of negativism and self-assertion to the development of sympathy role-playing and taking turns on to a state of higher independence and relativism marked by greater self-sufficiency and adequacy in coping with complexity and change. . .

(Harvey, 1966, p. 44)

Harvey et al. (1961) presented extensive theoretical and empirical bases from which four stages along the developmental continuum described above were drawn. Each stage was treated as a different conceptual system, assumed to represent nodal points or ranges on the continuous dimension between concreteness and abstractness.

The salient characteristics of these four Systems may be summed up as follows (after Cornfield, 1967 and Harvey, 1966).

System 1 functioning, which lies toward the concrete end of the concreteness-abstractness continuum of modes of construing and relating to the world, is characterised by high absolutism and closedness of beliefs; high evaluativeness; high positive (sic) dependence on representatives of institutional authority; high identification with social roles and status positions; high conventionality; high ethnocentrism. In many respects, System 1 functioning is related to the syndrome of authoritarianism. Membership within this System is assumed to evolve from a training history in which the individual has been restricted in exploration of his environment, especially that part of his world concerned with values and power relationships. Along with minimal exposure to diversity in relation to social norms, the individual's rewards and punishments are contingent upon his ideas and approaches to problems conforming to the omnipotently and omnisciently imposed standards of the training agent.¹

System 2 functioning, above System 1 in abstractness, is characterised by a high drive toward autonomy, and avoidance of dependence on God, tradition, and most of the referents that serve as positive guides for System 1 individuals. System 2 representatives, more than persons

of any other System, seem to be in a psychological vacuum, guided more by rebellion against social prescriptions than by positive adherence to personally derived standards. Membership within this System is assumed to be a result of capricious and arbitrary child-rearing practises which, owing to failure to provide any stable or predictable referent points in an unstructured world, present the developing child with a diversity far in excess of the optimal. While such vacillation and varied inputs tend to result in higher differentiation than the training environment of System 1 functioning, it also produces deep feelings of uncertainty, distrust of authority, rejection of the more socially approved guidelines to action, while at the same time other stable referents are lacking.

System 3 functioning, the next to highest level of abstractness, is characterised by the development of fairly high skills in effecting desired outcomes through techniques of having others bring about these desired ends. While oriented toward establishing dependencies on others to avert the helplessness that would result from being placed on his own, the System 3 representative nonetheless develops more autonomous internal standards, especially in the social sphere, than does the System 1 individual, and more positive ties to the prevailing social norms than does the System 2 person. Membership in System 3 is

assumed to evolve from a history of childhood over-protection and over-indulgence which, with one or both parents serving as a buffer between the individual and environmental demands, prevents the child from exploring his physical world and restricts the explorations of his social world to activity relating to manipulating people through dependency upon them.

The System 4 individual, who functions toward the abstract end of the concreteness-abstractness continuum, comes to have a highly integrated cognitive structure, and is consequently more flexible, more creative and more reflective in thought and action than are the members of other Systems. More than persons of any of the other Systems, the System 4 individual has a set of internal standards that are more truly independent of external criteria, in some cases coinciding with social definitions and in others not. Membership within this System is assumed to be the consequence of childhood freedom to explore both the social and physical aspects of one's environment, to establish and to rely upon values derived from one's own experience and thought, and to solve problems and evolve solutions without fear of punishment for deviating from established truth. The System 4 representative is the recipient of diversity along with stability as a developing child, and is of high perceived self-worth despite momentary frustrations

and deviation from the normative.

It is of interest that Systems 3 and 4 of Harvey et al. (1961) coincide closely to the descriptions given by Getzels & Jackson (1962) of high I. Q. and high creativity individuals, respectively. Also of interest is that the factors listed by both groups of researchers as being of importance in the formation of these individuals are in close agreement, to the point where one may equate at least some of the efforts in the study of creativity with parallel lines of research into complexity.

At this point it may be advisable to pause to examine some of the factors that influence complexity, with a view to later integration of these data with some of the foregoing in an effort to develop criteria for the screening and identification of teacher candidates who are likely to increase the complexity of their students.

The descriptions of the Systems of Harvey et al. (1961) listed a number of factors that influence the complexity of the individual. Little control can be exercised over those factors that are a part of the home environment, but there are indications that teacher behaviour does have influence on pupil complexity. Getzels & Jackson (1962), Pavlovich (1970) and Reynolds (1970) have variously reported that pupils of creative/complex teachers tend to acquire this trait. Unfortunately, other studies indicate that complex teachers are rare: Harvey, Prather, White & Hoffmeister

(1968) found that out of 67 elementary teachers, some 50 belonged to System 1 (very concrete) while only 8 were "weak instances" of System 4 (highly complex). The effects on teaching performance of such a preponderance of simple teachers may be deduced in part from a study by Joyce, Lamb & Sibol (1966), who concluded, in part, that the reason why teacher trainees are often unable to make effective decisions when presented with behavioural data may well be cognitive simplicity. Studies with complex teachers (Harvey, White, Prather, Alter & Hoffmeister, 1966; Harvey et al., 1968), on the other hand, have indicated that abstract teachers were more resourceful, less dictatorial and less punitive than concrete teachers. The students of the more complex teachers tended to be more involved, more active, higher in achievement, and more abstract than those of concrete teachers.

Individuals who differ in cognitive complexity are also likely to differ over a considerable range of behaviours. Various researchers have found that the level of cognitive complexity relates to decision-making behaviour (Sieber & Lanzetta, 1964, 1966; Stager, 1967); problem-solving (Karlins, Coffman, Lamb & Schroder, 1967; Sieber, 1964); and the toleration of stress (Driver, 1962; Harvey & Ware, 1967). Harvey (1966) reported that Ss of differing levels of complexity differed in cue utilization, in the ability to change set, and in

creativity (pp. 54-55).

While the application of optimum amounts of stress or environmental complexity will increase the level of cognitive functioning of most individuals (Schroder, Driver, & Streufert, 1967, p.40) up to a point, there is nothing in the literature to indicate that the cognitive function of an adult may be moved from the concrete to the abstract with any degree of permanence. Nor are there any indications that the cognitive function of an adult may be shifted to a higher System. In the absence of any available method of training teacher candidates for higher complexity, the only avenue remaining if we wish to increase the complexity of teachers is to select from the mass of teacher trainee candidates those who are most complex, and to screen out those who are most simple. A program of testing each applicant for complexity would be possible, but unwieldy. Far simpler in terms of time and cost would be to examine the backgrounds of applicants for indicators of cognitive complexity, and to use these indicators for at least the initial screening.

Sex is one obvious source of possible variation, in view both of the differing expectations of behaviour assigned to the sexes and of the differing methods of rearing applied to each sex. Little (1968, 1969) added support for this contention in his finding that females appear to be more complex than males when "psychological"

variables are considered, while males exhibit greater complexity in dealing with material objects and events.

Support was added to the above contention by Rosen & Connaway (1968) in their conclusion that categorizing behaviour is susceptible to influence by instructions. "Instruction" given to children may be expected to vary not only with sex, but also with religion, socio-economic status (Orliandi, 1969), sub-culture membership (Flores, 1969).

Attainment of concepts is dictated by the amount and type of information available (Siegel, 1969), and the latter factor is in turn influenced by a child's home environment, through which much information is filtered. Both form and content of home environment are affected by culture, socio-economic status, address, etc., insofar as these latter factors affect information available. While the effects that the foregoing factors may have on style of child-rearing and consequent complexity of the adult are largely self-evident, they are fairly well documented in the literature (Harvey, 1966; Harvey et al., 1961; Getzels & Jackson, 1962; Laing & Esterson, 1964; Orliandi, 1969).

That problem-solving strategies used by simple and complex individuals differ has been recognized, and the question has been explored by Sieber & Lanzetta (1964), with results largely in conformance with predictions made

by Harvey et al. (1961): persons having a history of positive reinforcement for exploration of environments and for elaboration of alternative means and goals of problem solving will employ more complex strategies than will persons who have been reinforced primarily for employing strategies dictated by some other person. Later support for this view was given by Biggs (1969), who added in a discussion of coding and problem-solving strategies that ". . . while there may be genetically determined limits to the extent to which an individual can use these strategies, it is also . . . clear that they are . . . learnable, up to those limits." That modeling may change cognitive style (Rindberg, Parke & Hetherington, 1971) points equally to the need for screening teacher candidates and to the need to examine their school backgrounds: complex candidates are likely to have had superior teachers. In Alberta, where a chronic teacher shortage persisted until only two years ago, it is likely that this factor will be tied to candidates' addresses: teachers with a choice of jobs prefer the higher pay and better working conditions in urban schools in "good" districts, with the result that deprived urban districts and rural schools, until recently, were able to hire teachers who were demonstrably more poorly qualified, or demonstrably poorer teachers, than those in the cities.

That conditions of measurement of complexity will

have a bearing on scores obtained has been posited by Schroder et al. (1967), who held that arousal will have an inverted U relationship to complexity. Miller (1968) found that Ss in a test situation were generally less complex under stressful instructions: this being the case, it may be supposed that adequate arousal is generated by placement in a test situation, and that both instrument and instructions selected should have as a criterion for that selection that they be neutral or reassuring to Ss. The ideal instrument to be used should perhaps not have the format of a psychological test that might be used to "analyze" Ss, in their estimation.

Dallas & Gaier (1970), along with earlier studies by Barron (1953), Gough (1961) and MacKinnon (1961), presented results that suggest strongly that the ideal test stimuli for the measure of complexity are those that are sufficiently ambiguous that the Ss may read into them the degree of complexity that is optimum for them.

A possible candidate for such a stimulus situation could be ranking/grouping/comparing nations, in that there exist many possible criteria for comparison, the number of which is limited only by the information-handling capacity of the S. At the same time, there are within the culture stereotypes of national characteristics, which present the illusion of stimulus simplicity to those Ss who favour this condition. One further advantage of the use of

nations as test stimuli in a complexity-measuring situation is that there exists a substantial body of literature on the use of this approach. Bower (1969), Driver (1962), and Scott (1966, 1969), while employing different techniques of analysis, have all reported success in differentiating between levels of complexity using this class of test stimuli. One weakness of this approach is that the dimensionality of S judgement is affected by the information he has: greater amounts of pertinent data lead to greater complexity of judgement (Scott, 1962, 1963, 1969; Seferi, 1968). This point will be covered later, under the discussion heading, but it seems not inappropriate to mention it here.

The correlation found to exist between the complexity/creativity of an individual and the field of endeavour chosen by him (Maier, 1955; Maier & Hoffman, 1961) has been found to hold true at the university undergraduate level—Eisenman (1969) found that business majors tended to be considerably less creative than English majors. The latter result indicates that, if one wishes to increase teacher complexity, it is appropriate to do so at the university level. In the absence of adequate training techniques, this may be accomplished by either attracting more complex individuals to the teaching profession or by screening out the less complex individuals from the mass of applicants. If a significant correlation may be found

to exist between complexity and external criteria, the tasks of attracting and screening may be much simplified from what would be the case otherwise. Given a population the characteristics of which are known, recruiting appeals may be conducted with sufficient specificity to attract mainly the desired individuals. Conversely, screening of applicants on the basis of external criteria is much simpler than is subjecting applicants to a battery of tests. There are numerous indications that there are such criteria: for example, the relation between religious behaviour and cognitive complexity is summed up in Table 1. It is not unreasonable to suppose that there exist other such relationships, such as some of the factors mentioned earlier, and that some of these may be available in extant data on students, on their registration forms. The matter was judged to be of sufficient interest to be the direct object of the study that follows.

-
1. The summaries given in this chapter for the four Systems have been taken, with considerable editing and paraphrasing, from Cornfield (1967, pp. 22-24) and Harvey (1966, pp.44-46). Because of paraphrasing and transposition of statements within the summaries, it was not possible to set this section in the form of a quotation, but it is wished to assign credit where it is due.

Table 1

Relationship between Religious Behaviour
and System Membership

System	1	2	3	4
attends church	yes	-	no	-
states that is not very religious	yes	-	yes	-
states that is not at all religious	-	yes	-	yes
states that there is only one true faith	yes	-	-	-
states that there is too much empha- sis on religion	-	yes	-	-

Compiled from information in Harvey (1966). Individuals giving the patterns of answers illustrated above in attitude surveys tend to belong to the indicated Systems. A dash (-) in a cell indicates that opinions expressed in the indicated cases do not aid in differentiating between the Systems.

CHAPTER II

HYPOTHESES

Among the instruments used by Bower (1969), in a study using freshmen Education students in the attempt to isolate a general complexity factor was the Similarities Among Nations Test (SANT) (See Appendix 1), an instrument derived from Driver (1962) and Scott (1962-1969). Scores obtained by Bower on this test were used as a measure of cognitive complexity of the sample for the present study. Details of sample composition, scoring of tests and coding are given in the next chapter.

The factors dealt with in the present study were those obtainable from the students' registration records: (1) sex, (2) whether or not Ss returned to the Faculty of Education after the completion of their first year, (3) home address, (4) religion, (5) Canadian vs. non-Canadian birth, (6) whether education was interrupted, (7) whether student continued to live at home while attending university, and (8) father's occupation. Blishen's (1968) Revised Index of Socio-Economic Status (9) was used as a measure of SES. Details on the coding of these factors is given in Appendix 2.

On the basis of material presented in the previous chapter, it was expected to find results as follows:

(1) Sex

Males would, in general, score as more complex on the SANT than would females. This prediction is based on

work by Little (1968, 1969), who found that female complexity was highest when dealing with interpersonal variables, a universe of dimensions not of much use in the present test situation. A significant positive correlation between complexity as measured by the SANT (variance) score and sex (0=female; 1=male) is expected.

(2) Faculty of Re-registration

Highly structured course settings may be expected to appeal less to complex individuals than to those who are accustomed to accept omniscient direction. It is expected that a small negative correlation will be found between return (=1) vs. non-return (=0) to Education for the term following the one in which testing took place and measured cognitive complexity. Only a small correlation is expected, as factors such as economic exigency probably play a greater role in determining continued enrollment than does interest.

(3) Home Address

Urban environments offer a greater variety of stimuli relevant to the test situation than do small towns or rural settings. It is thus expected that there will be a positive correlation between the size of the centre in which the student was raised (1=rural; 4=large urban) and his SANT-measured complexity.

(4) Religion

That religious behaviour is correlated with and indicative of complexity has been established (cf. Table 1)

It is posited here that an extension of this principle will also hold, that there is a positive correlation between professed religion (sect, coded as 1=great insistence on adherence to dogma; 9=low dogmatism) and measured cognitive complexity. The more authoritarian faiths, such as certain fundamentalist sects, demand of their members unswerving belief in a set dogma; other denominations are less rigid in this regard. The spectrum of rigidity of dogma will, it is believed, be reflected in the measured complexity of the communicants of the various faiths.

(5) Birth

If Scott's (1969) findings apply to informal learning situations, exposure to more than one culture would tend to increase the measured complexity of the S on the SANT. Information about ethnic origin would have been of help here, but this question is not asked on registration forms after 1965. The remaining possible measure, the country of birth, is not wholly satisfactory, since we are in effect comparing a sub-population of individuals of a multi-cultural background to a population which contains an unknown proportion of individuals of a like background. for this reason, we may expect at best to find only a very small positive correlation between measured complexity and foreign (=1) vs. Canadian (=0) birth.

One possible adjustment is the creation of an ad hoc

sample consisting of Canadian-born Ss with Anglo-Saxon surnames, and the subsequent comparison of this sample to the foreign-born sample. This procedure will eliminate most of the bias introduced by having an unknown proportion of multi-cultural individuals in the standard population, but will introduce the error of using only one sub-culture out of the many in Alberta for a standard. Nonetheless, it is expected that for the ad hoc sample the correlation between foreign vs. Canadian birth and complexity will be greater than in the former instance.

(6) Continuity of Education

Individuals who have interrupted their education may reasonably be expected to have been exposed to a wider range of stimuli than have those who have maintained a continuous school career. This exposure may be expected to increase the complexity of affected Ss, and it is expected that there will be a significant positive correlation between continuous (=0) vs. interrupted (=1) education and SANT-measured complexity.

(7) Live Home?

For any but an infant, new data from the home environment are nearly nil. A person who lives away from home, particularly, as in the case of many of the present Ss, for the first time, will be exposed to new data and/or to new relationships between known data. Such a change may be expected to increase the measured

complexity of the affected Ss, and it is expected that there will be a small positive correlation between complexity and living at home (=0) vs. living away from home (=1).

(8) Father's Occupation and (9) Blishen Scale

The last two variables to be considered in the present study, father's occupation and father's socio-economic status (Revised Blishen Index, 1968), may be considered together since the Blishen measure of SES is derived from occupational categories. The major distinction between the Blishen Index and the occupational rating scale used for Father's Occupation is that the former is well-standardised, while the latter is an experimental scale constructed for the present study (see Appendix 2).

Since the range of data available to an individual are to a considerable extent governed by the economic and professional circumstances of his parents, it is expected that a significant positive correlation will be found, respectively, between father's occupation (scaled from 01=low to 69=high) and Blishen Index (1=low; 9=high), and measured complexity. Details of both scales are given in Appendix 2.

The foregoing hypotheses, it may be seen, are strongly based on Harvey's (1966) descriptions of the four Systems and the circumstances postulated to lead to an individual's

classification within a given System. Since Conceptual Systems Test scores were available for the sample, it was decided to include these in the correlations to be made, on the grounds that these latter scores, based as they are on an instrument constructed on the basis of Harvey's theory by that author, should also reflect the correlations outlined above. A further benefit of this procedure, it was felt, would be to provide a comparison of these two instruments' ability to predict external correlates to cognitive complexity. No hypothesis about the superiority of either instrument was drawn, as only a simple comparison, rather than a statistical analysis of predictive validity, was wanted.

CHAPTER III

METHOD

Subjects were 583 students enrolled in the first-year Educational Psychology course (Ed. Psy. 269-271) at the University of Alberta in the Fall term 1968-69. From the total sample 51 Ss were dropped because SANT scores were not available. Another 136 Ss were lost for various reasons, such as unavailable registration forms, incomplete records in the Faculty office, and grossly incomplete registration forms, giving a net sample of N=396.

Access to student registration forms was obtained from the Student Records Office, Faculty of Education, and information as to sex, return to the Faculty of Education, home address, religion, country of birth, interruption of education, whether student continued to live at home, and father's occupation was gathered. These data were coded as described in Appendix 2, and Blishen's Index was computed and assigned to each S following published (1968) procedure.

Scores for the Similarities Among Nations Test were obtained from Dr. Bower, as were two scores for each S for the Conceptual Systems Test (see Appendix 1).

The SANT requires the subject to rate each of forty-five pairs of nations on a seven-point scale from 1=extremely similar to 7=extremely dissimilar. These 45 scores for each S were formed into a 10 x 10 matrix, with

zeros in the diagonal (that is, perfect similarity, the comparison of a nation with itself). From this matrix, profiles of nation similarity were obtained by comparing the similarities of each nation of each possible pair of nations with the eight remaining nations. The profiles so obtained were inter-correlated. The correlations so obtained were taken to be a measure of inter-nation similarity. These correlations were placed in a new 10 x 10 matrix.

Each S used several parameters, or factors, in his decisions as to the similarity of nations, and these factors were reflected by the correlation matrix. By computing the characteristic roots of the matrix, it was possible to identify both the number and relative importance of the factors used by the S. Since the size of the characteristic root, or eigenvalue, is a measure of the weight (relative importance) of the factor with which it is associated, the variance among eigenvalues was taken to be a measure of cognitive complexity: if, out of the factors used by the S, one or two predominate, the variance among the eigenvalues of his matrix will be large; if all the factors carry about the same weight, the variance will be small. A high variance thus indicates low complexity.

The S's score was the variance among the five largest eigenvalues.

A possible scoring method based on the Tucker-Messick (1960) individual differences model was considered. This

method relies on an earlier proof that factors with eigenvalues of less than one do not play a significant part in the S's judgement, and consists of a count of eigenvalues greater than one. Since in the present study S judgements were on the basis of only 10 stimuli, the number of eigenvalues greater than one ranged only 2 - 4, from simple to complex. This range of variation did not permit sufficient discrimination for our purpose, and this method of scoring was accordingly not used.

A copy of the SANT may be found in Appendix 1.

Two scores for each S were obtained on the CST. The Systems score was obtained by Bower on the basis of information received from Harvey. Each of the 49 items received a score from 1=completely disagree to 6=completely agree, with items 42 and 44 scored in the reverse direction. Means were obtained for the six subtests and the Ss were classified into the four Systems on the basis of profile means. Classification criteria may be found in Appendix 1.

The Combined score was obtained by summing the means of the six subtests. This latter score, as yet not published, was to offer the advantage of differentiation between levels of complexity with considerably simpler scoring, but Bower's (1969) analysis indicated that it did not measure the same factors as the Systems score, although the two are highly correlated. The Combined score is used in the present study as another measure of cognitive complexity, supplementing the validated Systems score.

On the CST, a Systems score of 1 indicates low complexity and 4 indicates high complexity; on the Combined score, high subtest scores indicate low complexity.

The ad hoc. sample of uni-cultural vs. multi-cultural Ss consisted of all 38 foreign-born Ss and of 38 other Ss with Anglo-Saxon surnames randomly drawn from the remaining sample, for a total of N=76.

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

A summary of the results obtained is presented in Table 2. It will be noted that correlations of the variables under study with CST scores are also included in this table, in spite of the fact that the SANT is the primary focus of the present study. The reason for this inclusion is to permit observational comparison of the respective ability of each instrument to predict the variable under consideration. Two correlations for each variable are given for the CST: CST_s and CST_c ; the former is the standard Systems score, and the latter the experimental Combined score.

Correlation coefficients significantly differing from zero were obtained between SANT and (1) sex, (2) foreign vs. Canadian birth (ad hoc. sample), and (3) living at home vs. away from home. All other correlations were nonsignificant.

In terms of the hypotheses stated, these results may be interpreted as follows:

(1) Sex

This correlation, while highly significant, was in the direction opposite to that predicted: females scored higher than males on the SANT in measured complexity, leading to the rejection of this hypothesis.

(2) Faculty of Re-registration

That there would be a small negative correlation between complexity and return (=1) vs. non-return (=0) to

Table 2
Correlation between Cognitive Complexity on
the SANT and CST with Other Variables: Total Sample

<u>Variable</u>	<u>r(CST_c)</u> <u>r(CST_s)</u>	<u>Pr</u>	<u>r(SANT)</u>	<u>Pr</u>
Sex (F=0; M=1)	0.235 0.084	<.0001 >.15	-0.164	.001
Faculty of Return (Educ=1; Other=0)	-0.044 -0.032	>.25 >.50	0.018	>.50
Home Address (Rural=1; City=4)	0.004 -0.011	>.9 >.85	0.060	>.15
Religion (High dogmatism=1; Low dogmatism=0)	0.177 0.265	<.01 <.00001	0.017	.45
Birth (Canadian=0; Foreign=1)	0.085 0.069	>.15 >.20	0.080 0.229*	.17 <.05*
Continuity of Education (Continuous=0; Interrupted=1)	0.137 0.022	<.02 >.70	0.045	>.54
Live Home? (Lives home=0; away=1)	0.010 0.040	>.85 >.50	0.103	<.02

Continued overleaf

Table 2 (Continued)

Correlation between Cognitive Complexity on
the SANT and CST with Other Variables: Total Sample

<u>Variable</u>	$\frac{r(\underline{CST_c})}{r(\underline{CST_s})}$	Pr	$\underline{r}(\underline{SANT})$	Pr
Father's Occupation (Labour=1; Capitalist=69)	0.032 0.050	> .50 > .40	0.024	> .50
SFS (Low=1; High=9)	0.040 0.307	> .49 .61	0.030	> .50
<u>CST</u> (Combined)	1.000 0.570	- ≪ .00001	0.010	> .72
<u>CST</u> (System)	0.570 1.000	≪ .00001 -	0.040	.47

* Figures drawn from ad hoc. sample

Education: rejected. The very small correlation observed was far too small to be significant. ($\underline{r}=.02$; $\underline{p}=.50$)

(3) Home Address

That there would be a positive correlation between home address (1=rural; 4=large urban) and SANT-measured complexity: rejected. The observed correlation was too small to indicate the possibility of a trend ($\underline{r}=.06$; $\underline{p}>.15$).

(4) Religion

That there would be a positive correlation between cognitive complexity and professed faith (1=high insistence on dogma; 9=low insistence on dogma): rejected. While the observed correlation was in the direction predicted, it was too low to be considered significant ($\underline{r}=.02$; $\underline{p}>.44$).

(5) Birth

That there would be a correlation between Canadian (=0) vs. non-Canadian (=1) birth and complexity: the correlation for the sample as a whole was $\underline{r}=.08$ ($\underline{p}>.15$). The use of the ad hoc. sample raised $\underline{r}$ to .23 ($\underline{p}<.05$).

(6) Continuity of Education

That measured complexity would increase with the interruption of education: rejected. While the correlation was in fact in the direction predicted, it was far too small to permit the rejection of $\underline{H}_0$.

(7) Live Home?

That living at home (=0) vs. living away from home (=1) would correlate positively with measured complexity

yielded an observed $\underline{r}=.10$ ($\underline{p}<.02$).

(8) Father's Occupation and (9) Blishen Index

That father's occupation (01=labourer; 69=capitalist) and SES (1=low; 9=high) would be a significant predictor of cognitive complexity: rejected. Correlations of $\underline{r}=.02$ and $\underline{r}=.03$, respectively, were far too low to conclude that a relationship existed.

It is of some interest that the CST Combined scores showed a very significant correlation with sex ($\underline{r}=.24$; $\underline{p}<.00001$) in the predicted direction, and that both CST measures were highly correlated in the predicted direction with religion (1=high dogmatic; 9=low dogmatic): $\underline{r}=.18$ ($\underline{p}<.005$) for Combined scores and $\underline{r}=.26$ ($\underline{p}<.00001$) for Systems scores.

Also on the CST, correlation between cognitive complexity and interruption (=1) vs. non-interruption (=0) of education on the Combined score ($\underline{r}=.14$; $\underline{p}<.05$) was significant in the direction predicted.

CHAPTER V

DISCUSSION

The results of the present study tend to confirm the general findings of Bower (1969), Bower & Anderson (1970) and Stewin (1969), that current measures of complexity tend to be domain specific, to the point of virtual orthogonality in the case of the SANT vs the CST. A comparison of the scores on these two instruments and their respective predictive validities indicates that a pure structural approach, without content loading, such as is used on the SANT, is of less value in the problem at hand than is the CST approach of heavy content loading on dominant cultural values. That the CST is directly based on the variables held to be important by Harvey et al. in the original 1961 formulation of the conceptual complexity construct also tends to make this instrument a better predictor in the case of the hypotheses of the present study, as these were based on the same formulation.

It is of some interest that the only significant correlations between complexity as measured by the SANT and the independent variables in the present study were in national origin and living at home vs. away from home. The former variable is the only one on which the content of the SANT may be said to be heavily weighed; the latter correlation admits to no simple explanation, although it seems apparent that the SANT content is more probably related to this area than is the content of the CST.

Results obtained vis-a-vis the remaining hypotheses also support this view: Correlations between professed faith (1=high dogmatism; 9=low dogmatism) and complexity on the CST are 0.18 and 0.27 ($p \ll .01$ Combined; $p \ll .001$, Systems) vs. a correlation of 0.017 ($p > .25$) for the SANT. For interruption (=1) vs. non-interruption (=0) of education, the use of the CST Combined score yields a correlation of 0.14 ($p \ll .05$) against a negligible correlation with SANT. Living at home (=0) vs. away from home (=1) correlates with complexity on the SANT at $r = .10$ ($p \ll .01$) against $r = .04$ ($p > .25$) on the CST (Systems). Sex correlates highly with both instruments, with highly significant results on the Combined CST score in the direction predicted, but in the opposite direction to the prediction on the SANT. The remaining hypotheses are not supported to any significant extent by either instrument.

An examination of the instruments reveals a number of social expectancy items on the CST. These items, which are more-or-less evenly distributed among the sub-tests which comprise the System score, would not be expected to show a sex differentiation on this score. In the Combined score, which is the sum of all sub-test scores, the effect of these items accumulates, with the result that this scale becomes sensitive to the fact that social expectancy has a greater governance over

female than male behaviour in this society.

That no significant correlations were found between cognitive complexity and return (=1) vs. non-return (=0) to Education and home address (1=rural; 4=large urban) may be assumed to be because the content of the items on these instruments bears little, if any, relation to the content implicit in these two variables.

That religion (1=high dogmatism; 9=low) correlated highly with complexity on both the Combined and Systems scores of the CST may be viewed in the same light: the many items dealing with religion on this instrument apparently tap the same content area as do professions of faith.

Neither SANT nor CST contains items dealing with social status, and this is perhaps the reason that neither instrument differentiates between levels of fathers' occupations or SES. It is, however, somewhat surprising that the various value systems operating in the strata of this society were not detected by the CST; possibly such sensitivity is attainable only through a greater specificity within the test items than that instrument provides.

Interruption vs. non-interruption of education was significantly correlated with complexity on the CST Combined, but not on Systems, probably for much the same reasons given for the similar effect on the correlation with sex, while

the significant correlation obtained between living at home vs. away from home and complexity on the SANT is again probably due to the fact that the content of the SANT permits the detection of changes in one's world outlook, while the content of the CST is such that it does not.

In general, the results of the present study may be held to have been useful in the attainment of the original ends, although this usefulness is unfortunately in a negative sense, that is, in the barring out of approaches. We find that the instruments used in this study are not capable of making useful discriminations between differences in the population which are predicted in theory, and to which they should be sensitive. Given that the views of complexity upon which these instruments are based are not without foundation in reality, we would be hard put to explain why both SANT and CST do divide the population into those segments predicted by theory. Under these circumstances, the conclusion that the models used do, in fact, have some correspondence to reality appears to be inescapable, which observation leads in turn to an examination of the instruments themselves. As has been noted, both instruments do in fact partition the population into those segments predicted by theory. But this division lacks generality: the borders of the segments appear to follow the outlines of theory, but the division is specific to the content domain of the instrument. The CST provides

a measure of social complexity specific to Ss' views on such subjects as religion, morality and orderliness, while the SANT is specific to Ss' world outlook within the rather limited domain of inter- and intra-national affairs. Scores for the latter instrument are also affected to a considerable extent by interests and information on the area of content, as has been shown by Scott's (1965 and subsequent) work with the Groups of Nations Test.

Given that content factors have, in fact, had an effect on the measured complexity of Ss in the present study, the lack of predictive validity demonstrated by both instruments becomes unsurprising. Further, since the SANT and CST may be assumed to be representative of the two broad approaches to the measure of cognitive complexity, it may be concluded that within the range of currently-available instruments within this area, no instrument not constructed to tap the specific content domains in question will meet the objectives of the present study satisfactorily. These domains are those that operate in the classroom to provide an environment conducive to increasing the complexity of the student: empathy towards one's pupils and a concomitant understanding of means of achieving specific educational objectives with specific children; comprehension of subject matter to be taught and of the alternate means it may be imparted; understanding of the many roles played by the educator in the classroom and

of the function played by these roles in the attainment of objectives; grounding in the administrative and political mechanisms of the school system and an understanding of the use of these mechanisms in the attainment of educational objectives.

The above listing of content domains is not, of course, either exclusive or comprehensive, but may serve to indicate at least the direction of an approach that may produce an instrument useful in attaining the ends of the present study. The results of the present study have not yielded information of immediate practical applicability, but have revealed that even at the present level of development of test methods, some of the predicted relationships do, in fact, exist; further, those correlations which have been demonstrated have been found to be in areas in which the content domains of the instruments used overlap those of the hypotheses under test.

While no recommendations can be made regarding the feasibility of teacher selection through the use of external criteria from this study, it is believed that sufficient indications of the promise of this approach have been shown to warrant further study.

Should such further research be undertaken in the future, some direction to such studies may be taken from the present work.

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

- Barron, F. Complexity and simplicity as a personality dimension. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1953, 48, 163-172.
- Biggs, J. B. Coding and cognitive behaviour. British Journal of Psychology, 1969, 60, 287-305
- Blishen, B. R. A socio-economic index for occupations in Canada. In B. R. Blishen (Ed.), Canadian society sociological perspectives, third edition. Toronto: Macmillan, 1968, pp. 741-753.
- Bower, A. C. Cognitive complexity and association learning. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Alberta, 1969.
- Bower, A. C. and Anderson, C. C. A study of the construct validity of the Conceptual Systems Test. Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science, 1970, 3, 279-293
- Corfield, V. K. The role of arousal and cognitive complexity in susceptibility to social influence. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Alberta, 1967.
- Dellas, M. and Gaier, E. L. Identification of creativity: the individual. Psychological Bulletin, 1970, 73, 55-73.
- Driver, M. J. Conceptual structure and group processes in an inter-nation simulation. Part one: the perception of simulated nations. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1962.
- Eisenman, R. Creativity and academic major: business versus English majors. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1969, 53, 392-395.
- Flores, M. B. Some differences in cognitive abilities between Canadian and Filipino students. Doctoral dissertation, University of Toronto, 1969. Dissertation Abstracts International, 1971-72, 32, 3087A
- Getzels, J. W. and Jackson, P. W. Creativity and intelligence. New York: Wiley, 1962

- Gough, H. G. Techniques for identifying the creative scientist. Conference on the creative person. Berkeley: University of California, Institute of Personality Assessment and Research, 1961.
- Graves, M. Design Judgment Test. New York: The Psychological Corporation, 1959.
- Harvey, O. J. System structure, flexibility and creativity. In O. J. Harvey (Ed.), Experience, structure and adaptability. New York: Springer, 1966, pp. 39-65.
- Harvey, O. J., Hunt, D. E. and Schroder, H. M. Conceptual systems and personality organization. New York: Wiley, 1961.
- Harvey, O. J., Prather, M., White, B. J., and Hoffmeister, J. K. Teacher's beliefs, classroom atmosphere, and student behavior. American Educational Research Journal, 1968, 5, 151-160.
- Harvey, O. J. and Ware, R. Personality differences in dissonance reduction. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1967, 7, 227-230.
- Harvey, O. J., White, B. J., Prather, M., Alter, R. D., and Hoffmeister, J. K. Teacher's belief systems and pre-school atmosphere. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1966, 57, 373-381.
- Joyce, B. R., Lamb, H., and Sibol, J. Conceptual development and information processing: a study of teachers. Journal of Educational Research, 1966, 59, 219-222.
- Karlins, M., Coffman, T., Lamb, H., and Schroder, H. M. The effect of conceptual complexity on information search in a complex problem-solving task. Psychonomic Science, 1967, 7, 137-138.
- Laing, R. D. and Esterson, A. Sanity, madness and the family. London: Tavistock, 1964. (Republished: London; Penguin Books, 1970)
- Little, B. R. Factors affecting use of psychological versus non-psychological constructs on the Rep Test. Bulletin of the British Psychological Society, 1968, 21, 113.

- Little, B. R. Sex differences and comparability of three measures of cognitive complexity. Psychological Bulletin, 1969, 24, 607-609.
- MacKinnon, D. W. The study of creativity and creativity in architects. In, Conference on the creative person. Berkeley: University of California Institute of Personality Assessment and Research, 1961.
- MacKinnon, D. W. The creativity of architects. In C. W. Taylor (Ed.), Widening horizons of creativity. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Maier, N. R. F. Psychology in industry (2nd edition), Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1955
- Maier, N. R. F. and Hoffman, L. R. Organization and creative problem solving. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1961, 45, 277-280.
- Miller, A. G. Psychological stress as a determinant of cognitive complexity. Psychological Reports, 1968, 23, 635-639.
- Miller, A. G. Amount of information and stimulus valence as determinants of cognitive complexity. Journal of Personality, 1969, 37, 141-157.
- Orliandi, L. R. Social class and structural patterns in divergent thinking of students in urban elementary schools. Doctoral dissertation, Dissertation Abstracts International, 1969-70, 30, 4282A (Abstract).
- Pavlovich, N. S. Cognitive types of teachers and pupils in relation to classroom interaction. Doctoral dissertation, Dissertation Abstracts International, 1970-71, 32, 797A (Abstract).
- Reynolds, R. J. Classroom verbal interaction as a function of instructor cognitive complexity. Journal of Teacher Education, 1970, 21, 59-64.
- Rindberg, E. H., Parke, R. D., and Hetherington, E. M. Modification of impulsive and reflective cognitive styles through the observation of film mediated models. Developmental Psychology, 1971, 5, 369-377.

- Rosen, A. and Connaway, R. S. Categorizing behavior and stimulus boundness. Psychological Reports, 1968, 23, 971-977.
- Schroder, H. M., Driver, M. J., and Streufert, S. Human Information Processing. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1967.
- Scott, W. A. Cognitive complexity and cognitive flexibility. Sociometry, 1962, 25, 405-414
- Scott, W. A. Cognitive complexity and cognitive balance. Sociometry, 1963, 26, 66-74. (a)
- Scott, W. A. Conceptualizing and measuring structural properties of cognition. In O. J. Harvey (Ed.), Motivation and social interaction. New York: Ronald, 1963, pp. 266-288. (b)
- Scott, W. A. Flexibility, rigidity, and adaptation: toward clarification of constructs. In O. J. Harvey (Ed.), Experience, structure and adaptability. New York: Springer, 1966.
- Scott, W. A. Structure of natural cognitions. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1969, 12, 261-178.
- Sieber, J. E. Problem solving behavior of teachers as a function of conceptual structure. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1964, 2, 64-68.
- Sieber, J. E. and Lanzetta, J. T. Conflict and conceptual structure as determinants of decision making behavior. Journal of Personality, 1964, 32, 622-641.
- Seferi, M.: International and interpersonal cognitions. Boulder: University of Colorado, 1968. (Mimeo.)
- Siegel, L. S. Concept attainment as a function of amount and form of information. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1969, 81, 464-468.

- Stager, P. Conceptual level as a composition variable in small group decision making. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1967, 5, 152-161.
- Stewin, L. S. Set characteristics of conceptual systems. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Alberta, 1969.
- Terman, L. M. Concept Mastery Test manual. New York: Psychological Corporation, 1956.
- Terman, L. M., Baldwin, B. T., Cox, C. M., Gillian, L. O., Burks, B. S., Oden, M. H., and others. Genetic studies of genius. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1925-1959.
- Tucker, L. R. and Messick, S. Individual differences in multidimensional scaling. Princeton: Educational Testing Service Research Memorandum 60-15, 1960.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1

Instruments used to measure complexity

- a. a copy of the Conceptual Systems Test
- b. criteria for assignment to Systems on the Conceptual Systems Test
- c. a copy of the Similarities Among Nations Test

Scoring the CST¹

Each item of the CST was assigned a score from 1 for "Strongly Disagree" to 6 for "Strongly Agree" and item scores were assigned to the six subtests, below. Items 42 and 44 were scored in the reverse direction (6 to 1).

Divine Fate Control

Items 1, 9, 17, 25,
32, 37, 42, 45,
48

Need for Structure Order

Items 2, 3, 10, 11,
18, 19, 26, 27,
33, 38

Need to Help People

Items 4, 12, 20, 28,
34, 39, 43, 46

Need for People

Items 5, 6, 13, 14,
21, 22, 29, 35,
40, 44, 47, 49

Interpersonal Aggression

Items 7, 15, 23, 30

General Pessimism (Anomie)

Items 8, 16, 24, 31,
36, 41

The means for the six subtests were obtained and compared with the cutting points given below to determine the S's System Classification.

System 1 Ss were those who scored above 4.19 on the Divine Fate Control subtest.

1. After Bower (1969) and Stewin (1969)

System 2 Ss were those who scored as follows:

less than or equal to 4.19 on Divine Fate Control;
greater than 3.75 on Interpersonal Aggression;
greater than 3.39 on General Pessimism

System 3 Ss were those whose score pattern was:

less than or equal to 4.19 on Divine Fate Control;
less than or equal to 3.75 on Interpersonal Aggression;
greater than 4.10 on Need for People

System 4 Ss were those whose score pattern was:

less than or equal to 4.19 on Divine Fate Control;
less than or equal to 4.10 on Need for Structure-Order;
less than or equal to 4.10 on Need for People;
less than or equal to 3.37 on Interpersonal Aggression

The Combined score for the CST consists simply of the summed means of the six subtests.

SIMILARITIES AMONG NATIONSForm 1

Name _____

Instructions

On the next two pages you will find a list of nation pairs which include all possible pairing of the ten countries listed below.

Australia	Red China
Brazil	Egypt
France	India
Cuba	Sweden
U.S.S.R.	U.S.A.

Beside each pair is a 7 point scale which runs from "Extremely Similar" to "Extremely Dissimilar". Place a check mark in the position on the scale which you feel best represents your opinion as to how similar or dissimilar the two nations are with respect to their basic characteristics.

For instance, if you think that the important characteristics of the paired nations are very much alike, place a mark under "Extremely Similar". But if you think that the paired nations are very different, then place a check mark under "Extremely Dissimilar". Where the two nations are about equal in differences and similarities, use the center of the scale, which is unmarked. There are 7 graduations of similarity, so try to make use of all 7 categories in making your judgements.

Do not spend too much time on any one of the pairs. Record your first impression. However, you may change a rating by erasing it and marking in your new opinion. There is no time limit.

TURN THE PAGE

Now rate each pair of nations as to their similarity on the 7-point scale.

51

Nation Pairs		SIMILAR			DISSIMILAR		
		E X T R E M E L Y	Q U I T E	S L I G H T L Y	S L I G H T L Y	Q U I T E	E X T R E M E L Y
1.	Cuba - U.S.A.						
2.	Australia - U.S.S.R.						
3.	Australia - Sweden						
4.	Red China - France						
5.	U.S.S.R. - U.S.A.						
6.	Australia - Brazil						
7.	France - India						
8.	U.S.A. - Red China						
9.	India - Australia						
10.	India - U.S.A.						
11.	Egypt - U.S.A.						
12.	U.S.S.R. - Egypt						
13.	Cuba - Sweden						
14.	Sweden - U.S.A.						
15.	Australia - Red China						
16.	Egypt - India						
17.	Brazil - Cuba						
18.	India - Brazil						
19.	India - U.S.S.R.						
20.	Brazil - Sweden						
21.	Egypt - Sweden						

TURN THE PAGE

		SIMILAR			DISSIMILAR		
		E X T R E M E L Y	Q U I T E	S L I G H T L Y	S L I G H T L Y	Q U I T E	E X T R E M E L Y
22.	Red China - U.S.S.R.						
23.	Brazil - Red China						
24.	Sweden - India						
25.	Egypt - France						
26.	Australia - Egypt						
27.	Cuba - Red China						
28.	U.S.A. - Australia						
29.	France - U.S.A.						
30.	Australia - Cuba						
31.	France - Australia						
32.	Brazil - U.S.S.R.						
33.	Cuba - U.S.S.R.						
34.	Red China - Egypt						
35.	Brazil - France						
36.	India - Cuba						
37.	Sweden - Red China						
38.	France - U.S.S.R.						
39.	Egypt - Cuba						
40.	Sweden - France						
41.	Brazil - Egypt						
42.	France - Cuba						
43.	U.S.A. - Brazil						
44.	Sweden - U.S.S.R.						
45.	Red China - India						

END

APPENDIX 2

Scales used in coding data

(1) Sex

0=F

1=M

(2) Faculty of Re-registration

0=dropped out or transferred to another faculty

1=returned to Faculty of Education for at least one term following that in which tests were administered

(3) Home Address

1=farm, rural, hamlet, village

2=towns to 5,000 population

3=small cities to 50,000 population

4=cities over 50,000 population

(4) Religion

1=fundamentalist (Adventist, Church of God, Full Gospel,
2=Islamic etc.)

3=established fundamental faiths (Presbyterian, Lutheran)

4=Jewish*

5=old established faiths (Catholic, Orthodox)

6=established Protestant faiths (Anglican, United, etc.)

7=non-specified "Protestant"

8=non-specified "Christian," Unitarian

9=agnostic, atheist, none

Faiths were rated by a panel of judges from 1=high to 9=low insistence upon adherence to dogma by a given religion.

* The panel reported some difficulty in placing the Jewish faith on the continuum, and this writer does not agree with the rating finally arrived upon. Since there were only 4 persons of Jewish faith in the total sample, the correctness of this particular rating is probably of little importance.

(5) Birth

0=Canadian born

1=foreign born

(6) Continuity of Education

0=continuous attendance

1=education interrupted for at least one year

(7) Live home?

0=lives at home while attending university

1=lives away from home while attending university

(8) Father's Occupation

00=unemployed

01=housewife

02=menial labour

03=semi-skilled

04=skilled labour

05=menial white collar

06=clerical

07=retired

08=business

09=professional

multipliers

00=lowest rank

10=independent of supervision

20=foreman/supervisor

30=administrator (at least two subordinate foremen)

40=manager of business

50=owner/manager of large concern

60=independently wealthy

This scale was composed by a panel of judges consisting of graduate students from this Department. In use, each occupation is rated using a two digit number made up of the first digit of the multiplier and the second digit of the occupation list. Thus, farmers, for example, would be rated 13.

(9) Blishen Revised Socio-Economic Index (SES)

This the standard Canadian scale of occupational ranking by occupation. The version used here (1967 revision) uses a decile division, but for the purposes of this study, because of the low number of respondents in the uppermost listings, it was decided to combine the two top deciles to yield a nine-point scale. Values assigned were from 1=membership in lowest decile through 9=membership in ninth and tenth deciles.

It would be tedious to reproduce the entire Index here, but a list of representative occupations within the nine categories used follows (After Blishen, 1968).

1=labourer, weaver, guide

2=tanner, knitter, cook

3=postman, tailor, miner

4=barbers, molders, boiler-maker

5=sales-clerk, plumber

6=millwright, postmaster, foreman

7=mechanic, clerical, other foreman

8=photographer, stenographer, social worker

9=owners/managers, professors, physicians, chemical eng.

B30049